

Thank you for the introduction.

Thanks to the conference organizers for the opportunity to talk here.

<complex-block>

My name is Niklas.

- I started studying Computer Science some time ago.
- I began building autonomous robots in 2010.
- We created a C++ library which is known as <u>modm.io</u>, a C++23 library generator that supports 3700+ Cortex-M devices.
- I then started at ARM working on Cortex-M sandboxing, before returning to the university to study for my masters degree.
- There, I worked on a digital modular signalling system for railways.
- I finished my masters degree and now work at Auterion debugging the opensource PX4 Autopilot for commercial drones.



In particular, I'm debugging the Skynode, which contains a Linux system and a flight management unit, which runs on the PX4 Autopilot software.

- PX4 is based on the NuttX RTOS which is complex and has some subtle bugs now and then.
- My job is to debug and improve PX4 and NuttX.
- Difficult because large code base and fast processor, which limits printf debugging.
- I want to share some of the tools I wrote over the last few months to help me trace PX4.

This talk is about microcontrollers, specifically with the ARM Cortex-M architecture. Microcontrollers contain a microprocessor, here a Cortex-M7 in light green on the left, connected via a bus system in gray to non-volatile memories, like Flash, and volatile memories like SRAM (yellow), as well as a number of special purpose peripherals. Peripherals can be internal, like the Random Number Generator (RNG) down here, or external, like the Ethernet MAC up there which connects over Media Intependent-Interface (MII) to an external PHY via the microcontroller pins. The CPU itself can be debugged using the Serial Wire Debug connection here on the left. Tracing uses the SWO and TRACE pins.

#### What? Microcontrollers are Embedded Systems

Why?

Auterion

- CPU connected via internal busses to memory and peripherals
- · Programmable, highly flexible real-time capabilities and data processing





Debugging microcontrollers requires some extra steps.

- You need to connect the Serial Wire Debug (SWD) signals to a hardware debug probe
- For example a J-Link or a STLink
- The debug probe then communicates over USB to the driver software
- Typically this is OpenOCD, PyOCD or JLinkGDBServer
- Which implements the GDB server protocol
- GDB connects to the GDB Server via TCP
- You can already debug now using the GDB command line
- Most IDEs wrap the debug functionality
- Communicate with GDB using the Machine Interface
- MI is an ASCII protocol for communicating with GDB as a User Interface

For tracing, you connect to the output-only SWO and TRACE pins. However, after that no standardized infrastructure exists. So let's have a look at tracing approaches.

### Profiling via Logging aka printf debugging

- Output logging messages over UART or logged to non-volatile memory.
- · Use USB-Serial adapter to see log and then post process it.
- · Ubiquitous and very effective, lots of existing libraries for it.
- · Very invasive, you need to add non-trivial amounts of code for logging.
- Still extremely valuable tool for narrowing down the issue area.
- · Often very slow compared to event rate, way too slow for real-time.

#### Auterion

The simplest profiling method is logging, usually over Serial link. It's very lowcost, very effective and everyone uses it. And it is of course a necessary tool to get an idea of what went wrong. But it's waaaaay to slow for our processor (480MHz). A lot of events.



NuttX has a built-in task trace system. It logs events to RAM and then to a file. But it renders very nicely in TraceCompass. It also runs on-devices, so it modifies timings and uses CPU time and program space.



So, can we externalize the profiling cost? Simple idea: log to ring buffer in SRAM and let the debug probe do the transfer. This is the idea behind SEGGERs SystemView, which provides a library to serialize RTOS events and timestamp it in software. threads, scheduling, semaphores, interrupts. BUT: It's proprietary and costs money and is not extensible.



Wouldn't it be great if we could instead let the hardware do the serialization and timestamping? Well, this is exactly what the built-in ITM peripheral does. They provide 32 channels that you can write 8,16 or 32-bit values into and also logs exception entry and exit. The whole thing is implemented in hardware, so you only need to add a single line statement to write to a ITM channel. CPU overhead only for waiting for space in the buffer, uses much less program space. The DWT peripheral can also output interrupts entry/exit and program counter samples. The overhead is only one header byte, and for this you get reliable framing and prioritization for free in hardware.



Then finally there is the ETM peripheral which allows for instruction trace. This is by far the most complicated trace peripheral, with \*many\* configuration options. The basic idea is relatively simple: only output which branch was taken, the other instructions in between are known and can be "traced" off-device. In practice it's a bit more complicated when dealing with conditional execution, interrupts, and cycle counts.

## Real-Time Tracing via ETMv4 + ITM on Cortex-M7

- Instruction tracing: ~0.4 bits per cycle.
  STM32H7 running at 480MHz = ~200Mb/s.
- Timing information: Cortex-M7 is a dual-issue CPU with caches, instructions take a variable number of cycles! ETMv4 issues differential cycle count between "branches", but not for single instructions.
- Data tracing: not implemented on STM32. You must manually add data sources via ITM: +50Mb/s.
- Total bandwidth requirements: <250Mb/s => USB2.
- You **must** decode ETM + ITM streams on the host! Protocol documentation is available online for free.

Auterion





So where does the data go? This is the debug and trace subsystem of the STM32H7. You can see the CPU code in the middle connected to the DWT, ITM, and ETM peripherals. You can connect your debugger on the left via SWD and then access the internals via the DAP. You can redirect the ITM output to an internal 4kB FIFO and then read this out via the SWD debugger. This is basically a hardware accelerated version of the RTT protocol. You can also redirect the ITM output to the SWO pin, which is a very fast UART. The super cheap STLinkv3 can trace this up to 2.4MB/s. ORBTrace mini can do 6MB/s, some (expensive) J-Links/J-Traces even higher. To output the ETM, you can only output it over the 4-bit parallel trace port with up to ~1Gb/s bandwidth (<133MB/s). For this you need a trace tool, here an open-source version called the ORBTrace mini, which is 10x cheaper than the J-Trace. Sadly there is no way to redirect the internal 4kB ETF buffer to SWO.

#### **Real-time Profiling and Tracing** Comparison Ring Buffer ITM/DWT ITM/DWT/ETM Logaina Profiling Aspect via Serial ia Debug Prob via SWO via TRACE 8/16/32-bit valu 8/16/32-bit values ASCII via prin 8-bit values 32 ITM channels 32 ITM channels + Multiplexing Manual Multiple queues DWT sources DWT/ETM sources Hardware cycle Hardware cycle Timestam Manual Software unter from ITM/ETM counter from ITM Any exception Any exception Exceptions Not usually Software entry/exit via DW entry/exit via ETM Instructions No No No YES Depends on Buffers ≥1kB rina buffe 0B (!) hardware buffer 4kB hardware buffe UABT driver <133MB/s via TBACE <6MB/s via SWC Speed 1kB/s (115200 Ba MB/s if using J-Lin Overhead Very large Large Small Very small External Sunno Chean LISB-Seria t SWD debug prob /erv fast LISB-Seri OBBTrace or J-Trace Auterion

Using more specialized hardware for profiling the better, what a surprise!1!! The 10B (yes!) hardware buffer for SWO requires busy-waiting the CPU when writing to the ITM in bursts.

State of the Tooling: YOLO Tracing the STM32H7 with the ORBTrace mini at 960Mb/s



Here the Skynode FMU is connected to the Orbtrace to transfer around 960Mb/ s of debug information, which is great.

- On the left is the STM32H7 on the Auterion Skynode.
- One right right is the ORBTrace mini

- And in the middle is the 4-bit parallel TRACE connection. Not even length matched, still works fine.



Ok, now what? We take the ITM, DWT, and ETM data streams and the data in the ELF file and convert them to a perfetto trace file. We convert the instruction trace to only function call stacks, which reduces the complexity significantly. Also reduces the file size significantly. Perfetto is based on FTrace, so you need to put the relevant data from your scheduler into the ITM to get threading support. ETM only gives you instructions, NOT data, so you will know that the scheduler has switched threads, but not WHICH thread! So ETM only makes sense in combination with ITM.



And this is then visualized by perfetto, which is actually meant to visualize Android and Linux traces.

- At the top, you can see the CPU is multiplexing all the different threads, but you can also see the interrupts just below. Note that is happening all within the same millisecond, each tick is 100µs. NuttX schedules a lot, because it is an RTOS!

- On the left you can the tasks with name and PID. PX4 has a lot of different threads.

- We have a lot of work queues for all the sensors, which you can see when the

workqueue item is called but often the thread actually gets interrupted a lot. This view is incredibly educational to see how an RTOS actually works.



For example, every malloc/free call, which helps you understand the heap usage. Here you can see a single malloc call with the requested size and the returned pointer and allocated size including overhead. By adding mallocs and subtracting frees, you can compute the total heap usage over time. I didn't find a good UI for this, but you could even analyze heap fragmentation using this information. Also create a histogram of allocation sizes for optimizing a binning block allocator for your application. Incredibly useful and it also makes you look like a wizard if you just whip this out and show other people how PX4/NuttX works.



You can really track \*anything\* over time. Here we're tracing DMA channels and semaphores. You can see the SPI3 workqueue at the top. It starts two DMA transfers and then waits on a semaphore.



If we zoom out we can also see patterns. Here there was a brown out because we put too many sensors on the same power rail. And the sensors reinitialized. Would be nice if we could detect such issues automatically.



So perfetto also has a SQL interface to query your traces. This is very fast. There is a fantastic talk about this in more detail which I delegate to. The documentation is also fairly complete. We can write SQL queries to detect issues with our RTOS, which only show up when putting all the parts together. So this targets integration testing rather than unit testing.



We want to have a typical filter-map-reduce pipeline that tests every PR or branch. Onto every trace we maps a set of queries:

- regular sensor readings is very important for a stable control loop.
- We need high communication link throughputs via DMA.
- Sometimes we have to wait on other threads, would be nice to know what the wait time distribution is like.
- Catching potential deadlocks, where semaphores are to blame.
- Some functions needs to be called in pairs (enable, disable). Is that balanced?

And then the reduce step renders these metrics into a graph.



Perfetto gives you a batch processor for the map-reduce pipeline. Why not do this processing on device? Because you need to know before hand what metrics you want. ETM catches \*all\* instructions, so you can go back in time and query old traces and get new insights. BUT: only works with instruction traces, not on ITM data, because you need to add the ITM tracing.



Ok, so we have a lot more data sources than just traces. We also log on device and then evaluate this afterwards. Bandwidth is limited, thus we only log the important things. All of them have different tooling, the PerfettoSQL only works for the tracing part but what about the rest? Looking into research, the RTLola specification language may be a solution. The hope is to specify the queries once and then compile them to all our analysis tools. One specification to rule them all...



...and in darkness bind them! Or something like that. The idea is to interpret the off-device data streams against the specification, or alternatively compile the specification into a on-device monitor. Perhaps in future we can use RTLola to generate checks for our formats.

## Conclusion

- Complicated: Embedded Software + Data Science + Quality Engineering.
- ARM Cortex-M built-in debug and trace hardware is very powerful! Use it!
- · Perfetto is a great foundation but optimized for Android/Linux, not Cortex-M.
- · Regardless: Incredible promise for solving hard problems head on!
- ORBTrace mini is a fantastic deal for a trace probe!
- · Please try out emdbg and give me some feedback.

# This is fairly complicated. Perfetto is great and will become even better. ORBTrace mini is really good value and works fine even for STM32H7.

# Auterion

#### Embedded Debug Tools: emdbg a modular toolbox for scripting GDB + tracing Cortex-M



- Fully open-source: https://github.com/auterion/embedded-debug-tools
- · Also contains all the trace tools, but not currently feature complete.
- Instructions are on GitHub and API docs available via pdoc emdbg
- Specific for PX4+NuttX+STM32, but intentionally modular so you can hack it.
- You are very welcome to contribute, I'm actively maintaining this project!

The trace tools are experimental!

Trace tools can be found on GitHub including examples. Not complete yet, we're still fighting a lot of bugs. There are also a much more mature GDB Python plugins, which I've given three separate talks on. We are actively developing the trace tools right now, hopefully much more complete by end of year.

#### Auterion



Thank you and do you have questions?